## DRAFT 12/6/2002 5:33 PM

## [FRONT COVER—PAGE 1]

# **Washington State Board of Health**

Annual Report 2002

[State seal]

[always working for a safer and healthier Washington—see graphic treatment]

### An Ounce of Prevention

The highly public preparations for a possible smallpox attack...the westward flight of West Nile Virus...the growing awareness that we face an epidemic of obesity...this year's headlines have provided constant reminders of the importance of public health. The tools public health uses to respond to these emergent and very public crises—disease surveillance, laboratory testing, epidemiology, environmental monitoring, distribution of medicines and vaccines, health education, and more—are the same tools it uses every day as it quietly works around the clock, and often behind the scenes, to protect the public's health and safety from an array of threats.

Public health is about understanding and preventing disease and injury across our entire population. It is a public and private partnership that improves health status by applying science to medical practice, personal behavior, and public policy.

A century ago, the average American lived to be 45. Through public health's leadership in communicable disease prevention and control, sanitation, immunization, nutrition, and education, the average lifespan in the United States has increased dramatically to 75 years. Advances in preventing premature death from heart disease, cancer, stroke, and a dozen other illnesses are among the reasons our lifespan continues to rise.

A 2002 Institute of Medicine Report, *The Future of the Public's Health in the 21*<sup>st</sup> *Century*, notes that while as much as 95 percent of health care spending goes to medical care and biomedical research, "there is strong evidence that behavior and environment are responsible for more than 70 percent of avoidable mortality." Public health's enemies today include tobacco use, poor diet, lack of exercise, and environmental pollution. That is why current public health efforts have tended to focus on assuring healthy environments and promoting healthy behaviors.

At the same time, heightened concerns about bioterrorism have increased awareness of public health's continuing efforts to confront a traditional enemy—communicable disease. Because of our growing interconnectedness in an increasingly global world, the United States and Washington face a compound threat—from new and re-emerging diseases and from diseases that have become resistant to antibiotics, as well as from the possible use of biological weapons by hostile nations, terrorists, or criminals.

The Board of Health is committed to partnering with the citizens of Washington and with other public health agencies to meet these multiple challenges.

#### [PULLQUOTE FOR ART ON P. 2]

Even as public health addresses lifestyle diseases, it must refocus its efforts to respond to the possible threats posed by communicable diseases.

## [SIDEBAR]

## Some Key Accomplishments

- Completed work of Genetics Task Force
- Produced 2002 State Health Report in collaboration with Subcabinet on Health
- Adopted rule establishing isolation and quarantine procedures
- Passed emergency rule to respond to vaccine shortage
- Showcased programs to recruit students of color for health careers
- Assisted with launch of Health Care Workforce Diversity Network
- Showcased "best practices" in local environmental health
- Updated work on evidence-based list of critical health services

## The Board's Book of Business

The Washington State Constitution promises the people that their state government will provide for the public's health and welfare. The Constitution establishes the State Board of Health to help lead this effort.

The Board has ten members, nine of whom the governor appoints to three-year terms—two representing consumers, one representing elected city officials, one representing elected county officials, one representing local health officers, and four representing health and sanitation. The tenth member is the secretary of the Washington State Department of Health.

The Board divides its time between three related responsibilities—rule making, policy development, and providing a public forum through which citizens can help shape state health policy. The Board is also an active part of a network of public health agencies that work together to provide a safer and healthier Washington.

## **Rule Making**

The Board is responsible for a wide range of health rules. These rules define a system that alerts us to new disease threats, protects the health of our food and drinking water, prevents and controls the spread of communicable diseases, ensures that our children receive appropriate and timely health screenings and immunizations, keeps septic systems from contaminating streams and groundwater, and enhances the safety of a wide range of facilities Washingtonians use every day—pools, schools, restaurants, camps, pet shops, outdoor concert venues, hotels and resorts, and more.

## **Policy Development**

The Board's duties include recommending health policy in Washington State. In recent years, the Board has significantly increased its policy activities to help point the way to new opportunities for public health improvement.

Every two years, a Board planning process identifies high priority areas for policy development. During spring 2001, the Board staff members conducted extensive research to identify critical issues facing public health in Washington. That research reaffirmed the importance of existing priorities and helped the Board set priorities for 2001-2003—health disparities, genetics and privacy, children's health and well-being, access to critical health services, and environmental health.

Every two years, the Board is responsible for generating a state health report for the governor. The report provides guidance to agency heads as they develop budgets and craft request legislation for the upcoming biennium. In 2002, the Board collaborated with the Governor's Subcabinet on Health to produce a state health report intended to shape priorities for the 2003-2005 biennial budget.

### **Public Engagement**

A central part of the Board's mandate is to invite the public into the policy development process. Its meetings around the state provide a forum for public testimony on any health subject and it regularly holds public hearings on specific topics. It takes seriously its commitment to engage stakeholders and the general public in all rule making, and state government looks to the Board to convene forums on emerging health issues such as health care access and the policy implications of emerging genetic technologies.

#### [SIDEBAR]

#### 2002 Rule Reviews

- Communicable Disease Control in Emergencies
- Auditory and Visual Screening
- Scoliosis Screening
- Newborn Screening
- Vital Statistics
- Prenatal Testing
- Food Service
- Food Worker Card Fees
- On-site Sewage
- Group A and B Waters Systems
- Water Recreation
- Transient Accommodations
- HIV Counseling and Testing Standards for Pregnant Women

## [PULLQUOTE FOR PICTURE OF BLOCKS ON P. 4]

A central part of the Board's mandate is to invite the public into the policy development process.

#### [QUOTE FOR LARGE RECTANGLE ON P. 5]

The Board is part of a statewide network of public health agencies that are always working to provide a safer and healthier Washington.

## **Genetics and Privacy**

Rapid developments in the field of human genetics are paving the way to identifying, managing, and even curing numerous diseases. As our understanding and awareness of genomics—the interactions between human DNA and environmental factors—increases, our approaches to public health and medicine will change. Similarly, this knowledge will affect the structure of social institutions such as marriage, reproductive customs, personal privacy, and civil rights. How can we encourage the development of genomic technologies without jeopardizing the values of our free and open society? Is our personal privacy and right to be free of unfair discrimination adequately protected? How should society respond when genetic information is misused?

During 2002, the Board established itself as the leading forum for data-driven, thoughtful dialogue on these and similar questions by responding to the Legislature's call to convene a broad-based Genetics Task Force (GTF). The 22-member task force included some of our nation's preeminent genetics experts who live and work in our state, as well as a broad cross-section of public health, medical, legal, business, and consumer policy advisers and advocates.

Members of the task force contributed generously of their time and expertise to review scientific and legal evidence and to hear from several of our state's experts in genetics, biotechnology, civil rights, medicine, and the law. Ultimately, the GTF produced a carefully considered statement on genetics, privacy, and discrimination. The report serves not only as a compendium of current law and practice regarding genetics, civil rights, and privacy, it also offers 11 recommendations designed to move our state's policy dialogue forward on these issues.

The fact that a few GTF members offered well-reasoned and well-documented dissenting opinions with regard to a few of the report's recommendations added dimension and perspective to some of the more contentious issues the GTF addressed. Despite these dissents, the final report as a whole received the enthusiastic endorsement of virtually all Genetics Task Force members.

#### **Key Findings and Conclusions**

- Genetic information is private health information and is protected in most health related settings under current law; fewer protections may exist outside the health care system.
- A complex set of laws, rules, and agency interpretations seem to address many
  important types of genetic discrimination, but the application of these laws to
  situations specifically related to genetics has not been tested in the courts.
- It is not clear whether the lack of genetic privacy and discrimination complaints to state agencies is evidence that these events do not occur or that awareness of existing legal remedies and reporting systems is limited.

### **Key Consensus Recommendations**

- Educate all on the complex network of existing genetics privacy and antidiscrimination laws and rules.
- Designate a central agency to receive and act on reports of genetic discrimination or violations of genetic privacy.
- Place in rule the existing privacy protections governing the state's newborn screening specimens and data.
- Require specific informed consent for collection, testing, storage, disclosure or other use of any genetic sample taken for an already legally sanctioned purpose.
- The existing prohibition on first cousin marriages is not medically justified and should be removed.

#### [**PAGE 8**]

## **Emergency Response and Public Health Capacity**

The terrorist attacks and anthrax outbreak of 2001 underscored the importance of ensuring all Washington residents have access to a strong, integrated system of public health and health care programs. The Board scrutinized our public health and health care readiness in the weeks following the attacks. In November 2001, it adopted a report and passed a resolution calling for protecting, and enhancing when appropriate, the state and local health infrastructure.

One recommendation in the report was for the Board to ensure its own rules were adequately robust. The Board responded by proposing revisions to its rules governing communicable disease reporting, disease and contamination control measures, and the emergency powers of local health officers. The revisions were designed to provide modern civil liberties protections during periods of isolation and quarantine and to highlight statutory requirements that police agencies enforce orders issued by a local health officer. After broad review and two public hearings, the Board adopted the rule change in December 2002.

The Board continues to be part of the Public Health Improvement Partnership, along with the Washington State Department of Health, the Washington State Association of Local Public Health Officials, and the University of Washington School of Public Health and Community Medicine. The Board has lead responsibility for defining and measuring access to critical health services and staff members participate in other PHIP work groups.

#### [PULLQUOTE UNDER ART]

"We are certainly not unprepared to deal with bioterrorist threats, but I think we have a long way to go before our system could be described as fully prepared."

—Tom Locke, M.D., M.P.H. Board member

#### [BOX]

#### New emergency powers rule

- Clarifies that local health officers may order isolation and quarantine for up to 10 days without a court order when there is a risk of serious and imminent harm
- Establishes timelines, right to hearing, burden of proof, right to counsel, and other due process protections
- Iterates enforceability of isolation and quarantine orders

The complete rule revisions are available on the Web at www.doh.wa.gov/sboh/rules/emergency.htm

#### [**PAGE 9**]

### **Environmental Health**

In 2000-01, the Board found that communities want more meaningful involvement in identifying and addressing environmental health issues. For 2001-03, its Environmental Health committee is following up on this work by:

- surveying community assessment processes for environmental health
- working with agency and community representatives to evaluate those processes
- recommending ways to increase community engagement in addressing environmental health issues.

At its September 2002 meeting, the Board highlighted local health department environmental health "best practices"—programs that included informing and educating the public and mobilizing community partner ships, as well as community assessment. The Board toured a local health department "best practice" that engaged the community in developing a solution to local water quality issues (see sidebar).

The committee also continues to revise environmental health rules—food service, for example, and onsite sewage—and responds to citizen inquiries on issues such as school indoor air quality.

#### [PULLQUOTE UNDER PICTURE]

Environmental health is the art and science of protecting against environmental factors—whether in the natural or built environment—that adversely impact human health in the present or in the future.

#### [SIDEBAR]

#### **Community-based solutions**

When contamination forced the closure of shellfish growing areas, the Skagit County Department of Health didn't simply fine homeowners with inadequate septic systems. Instead, department staff and community members worked together to find community-based solutions—such as the Edison community sewer system, which the Board toured at its September 2002 meeting.

#### [Pages 10 and 11]

### Access to Critical Health Services

Mounting medical evidence supports the value of promoting access to a wide range of public health, clinical preventive, primary, secondary, tertiary and chronic care services to improve health outcomes. Lack of access is associated with poor outcomes. But few efforts to promote access to medical services proceed from a clear, public health-oriented evidence base in determining which services should be broadly available.

During 2001, the Board finalized a menu of critical health services to further the access discussion in this way. The menu is a list of health and medical services with proven effectiveness to address significant community health problems. It was developed as an aid to local health jurisdictions as they seek to implement a portion of Washington's Standards for Public Health Improvement, and as an aid to major purchasers as they set priorities.

During 2002, despite the worsening economy and state fiscal situation, the Board successfully promoted its evidence-based, public health approach with state health care purchasers and some local health jurisdictions. In addition, the Board promoted favorable consideration of several elements of the menu in public health policy and purchasing decisions.

In December, the Board approved a detailed status report on its efforts. The report summarizes recent trends in medical care financing, reviews the increasing support in the medical literature for an evidence-based approach like the Board's menu, cites examples of how local health jurisdictions are promoting access, and calls for continued efforts to use the menu to inform state and local efforts to establish priorities for improving access.

#### **Key State Board of Health recommendations:**

- The Public Health Improvement Partnership should consider creating a new Committee on Access to Critical Health Service, and involve new partners such as health foundations and professional associations in the committee's work.
- Local health jurisdictions should consider partnering with the Washington Health Foundation to host community forums on access issues across the state.
- Local health jurisdictions should consider building local coalitions around access issues with local health providers and area businesses.
- Public purchasers should consider expanding their use of the Board's menu and other evidence-based approaches to guide "value-based" purchasing.

- Washington Health Foundation and the Department of Health should together consider modifying the "County Health Profiles" to include more information that tracks access to critical health services.
- Washington residents should consider two key questions: "Can we afford the health-care choices that we want?" and "Are there any health-care services, other than immunizations, that government should take steps to guarantee for all residents."

### [LOCKE PULLQUOTE UNDER PHOTO]

"In the debate about health care access, we seldom ask, 'Access to what?' Are all health services of equal importance or are some more important than others?"

—Tom Locke, M.D., M.P.H.

Board Member

#### [PAGE 12]

## Children's Health & Well Being

One of the most effective ways to assure healthy citizens and communities is to safeguard the health of children. It is critical that Washington's children have access to preventive health care that allows them to grow into adults with healthy bodies and healthy lifestyles. An early start is the best start.

Safeguarding the health of Washington's children is one of the most important investments we can make. This statewide investment benefits our children as well as their families, communities, and the state. The State Board of Health has responsibility to help ensure that children's health problems are prevented or identified as early as possible. In 2002, the State Board of Health exercised its authority through its rule-making process to help assure children are born healthy and stay healthy, or if they are sick that their problems are quickly identified. The Board worked with multiple agencies, organizations, experts, and interested individuals to revise several rules so that:

- Pregnant women are offered prenatal tests that meet current standards of practice;
- Newborns are universally screened for specific disorders that can be identified and treated; and
- Children are immunized for vaccine-preventable diseases by school entry—or in the event of a vaccine shortage, children get immunized as soon as possible.

### [Sidebar accompanied by a photo of newborn baby or pregnant woman]

To identify or prevent congenital and heritable disorders, the Board sets standards for prenatal tests and determines universal screenings for newborns.

## **Health Disparities**

*Health disparities* describes a disproportionate burden of disease, disability, and death among a particular population or group.

The Board's *Final Report on Health Disparities*, adopted in May 2001, documented the severity of health disparities in Washington. It demonstrated that people of color are underrepresented in our state's health care workforce and underserved by its health care system. Research shows that a diverse health care workforce can improve the health status of racial and ethnic minorities.

In March 2002, the Board gathered representatives from professional associations, academia, foundations, public and private institutions including state and local agencies, and other interested parties to form a Health Workforce Diversity Network. Under the leadership of Washington State Nurses Association and Washington State Medical Association representatives, the network formed committees to focus on:

- 1. Enumerating the health workforce
- 2. Identifying gaps in health career pipelines or pathways
- 3. Pursuing funding to expand efforts to diversity the health workforce.

The network advised the state's Health Care Personnel Shortage Task Force on how to improve diversity while addressing workforce shortages.

At its May 2002 meeting, the Board highlighted Washington programs that recruit students of color into health careers. The Board's work on health disparities spurred the creation of some of these programs.

Visit the Board's Health Disparities Web page for more information on the Health Workforce Diversity Network and related efforts: http://www.doh.wa.gov/sboh/Priorities/disparities/disparities.htm.

#### [SIDEBAR ON Board Member Vickie Ybarra]

Board Member Vickie Ybarra was appointed to the Institute of Medicine's Committee on Institutional and Policy Strategies for Increasing the Diversity of the Healthcare Workforce. The Committee will meet for 16 months, beginning in November 2002, to:

- assess and describe potential benefits of greater racial and ethnic diversity among health professionals for improving the access to and quality of healthcare for Americans:
- 2. assess institutional and policy-level strategies that may increase diversity within the health professions; and
- 3. identify mechanisms to garner broad support among health professions leaders, community members, and other key stakeholders to implement these strategies.

## Membership

#### Consumers

**Linda Lake, M.B.A,** Chair, has 25 years of experience in the field of health and social services. She has directed several community health and social service organizations, including the Pike Market Medical Clinic.

**Joe Finkbonner, R.Ph.,** M.H.A., is director of the EpiCenter at the Northwest Portland Area Indian Health Board. He has served as chair of the American Indian Health Commission, director of the Lummi LIFE Center, and chief executive officer of the Lummi Indian Business Council.

### **Elected County Officials**

**The Honorable David R. Crump, Ph.D.,** a child psychologist, is a Liberty Lake City Council Member and member of the Spokane Health District Board.

### **Elected City Officials**

**The Honorable Carolyn Edmonds,** a former legislator, is a King County Council Member and chair of the King County Board of Health.

## **Department of Health**

**Mary Selecky** is secretary of the Washington Department of Health and former administrator of Northeast Tri-County Health District.

#### **Health and Sanitation**

**Charles R. Chu, D.P.M.,** a practicing podiatrist, is president of the Washington State Podiatry Independent Physician Association.

**Ed Gray, M.D.**, is health officer for the Northeast Tri-County Health District and chair of the Basic Health Plan Advisory Committee.

**Carl S. Osaki, R.S., M.S.P.H.,** former director of environmental health for Public Health—Seattle & King County, is on the faculty at the University of Washington.

**Vicki Ybarra, R.N., M.P.H.,** is director of planning and development for the Yakima Valley Farm Workers Clinic. Much of her work is dedicate to supporting children and families.

### **Local Health Officers**

**Thomas H. Locke, M.D., M.P.H.**, Vice Chair, is health officer for Clallam and Jefferson counties and medical director of the Port Gamble S'Klallam tribal health program.

#### **Board Staff**

Don Sloma, M.P.H., Executive Director
Craig McLaughlin, M.J., Senior Health Policy Manager
Doreen Garcia, M.P.P., Senior Health Policy Advisor
Marianne Seifert, M.A., Health Policy Advisor
Desiree Day Robinson, Executive Assistant to the Board
Jennifer Dodd, Assistant to the Board

## 2002 Meeting Schedule

Jan. 8, Olympia

Feb. 12, Olympia

Mar. 12, Olympia

Apr. 9, Olympia

May 14, Shelton

June 11, SeaTac

July 9, Colville

Aug. 13, SeaTac

Sept. 10, Stevenson

Oct. 9, Yakima

Nov. 12, Olympia

Dec. 10, SeaTac

Meetings in italics are tentative. Meeting dates and locations are subject to change. See <a href="https://www.doh.wa.gov/sboh">www.doh.wa.gov/sboh</a> for updates.

## [PAGE 16 (BACK COVER)]

[STATE SEAL] Washington State Board of Health 1102 SE Quince St. PO Box 47790 Olympia, WA 98504-7990 Telephone: (360) 236-4100

Fax: (360) 236-4088

E-mail: wsboh@doh.wa.gov Web: www.doh.wa.gov/sboh/